https://doi.org/10.37420/j.jaim.2025.004

Artificial Intelligence in Medical Image Diagnosis: Advances, Challenges, and Future Perspectives

Xin Shen[1], Zhiwen Shi[2], Junwei Lu[3], Bingran Li[4], Qizhi Yang[5*]

[*]indicates the corresponding author.

Affiliations and emails:

[1] shenxinsean@163.com, Northern Jiangsu People's Hospital Affiliated to Yangzhou University

[2] wenzhishi@sina.com, Zhejiang Provincial Key Laboratory of Medical Genetics, Key Laboratory of Laboratory Medicine, Ministry of Education, School of Laboratory Medicine and Life Sciences, Wenzhou Medical University

[3] junwei1998@126.com, Department of Neurosurgery, Zhongnan Hospital of Wuhan University, Wuhan, China

[4] 2991598994@qq.com, Hebei Medical University

[5] qizhiyang0504@163.com, Xuzhou Tongshan District Huangji Town Health Center, Xuzhou, Jiangsu, China

Abstract

This review examines the advances, challenges, and future directions of artificial intelligence (AI) in medical image diagnosis. Medical image diagnosis is vital for modern healthcare but faces bottlenecks like heavy workloads and potential human errors. AI, especially deep learning, has driven transformative progress: U-Net-based models excel in medical image segmentation (e.g., multimodal imaging for soft tissue sarcoma); CNNs achieve high accuracy in disease detection (e.g., ~96.57% for TB in chest X-rays, 99.75% for brain tumor MRI); GANs generate synthetic data and enhance images (e.g., AM-CGAN for chest X-rays), with denoising diffusion models outperforming GANs in diversity/fidelity; Transformers (e.g., TransUNet) capture global features to improve segmentation. AI applications span modalities: chest X-rays for COVID-19 (sensitivity 94.7%), MRI for brain tumors, CT for cardiovascular assessment, ultrasound for breast cancer, and retinal imaging for diabetic retinopathy. However, challenges persist: data bias affecting generalizability, "black-box" AI lacking interpretability, regulatory/ethical issues, and data privacy concerns. Future trends include federated learning for collaborative, privacy-preserving model training, AI-powered radiomics for personalized medicine, AI integration into clinical workflows, and self-supervised learning to address limited labeled data. AI holds great promise for advancing precision healthcare and improving patient outcomes.

Key words: artificial intelligence; medical imaging; medical diagnosis; deep learning

© By the author(s); licensee Mason Publish Group (MPG), this work for open access publication is under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0). (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Introduction

Medical image diagnosis plays a pivotal role in modern healthcare, enabling early detection, accurate staging, and effective treatment planning for a wide range of diseases. From X-rays and CT scans to MRI and ultrasound, medical imaging modalities provide invaluable insights into the human body, guiding clinical decisions and ultimately impacting patient outcomes. However, the sheer volume and complexity of medical images often strain the capacity of human experts, leading to potential for errors and delays in diagnosis. In recent years, artificial intelligence (AI) has emerged as a transformative force in medical image analysis, offering the promise of enhanced accuracy, efficiency, and accessibility in diagnostic workflows. This review aims to provide a comprehensive overview of the advances, challenges, and future perspectives of AI in medical image diagnosis.

This review will explore the significant advancements in AI-powered medical image analysis techniques, focusing on deep learning approaches such as image segmentation, Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) for disease detection and classification, Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) for image enhancement, and the application of Transformer-based models. These techniques have revolutionized the field, enabling the development of sophisticated algorithms capable of automatically identifying and characterizing subtle abnormalities within medical images. Furthermore, the review will delve into the applications of AI across various medical imaging modalities and diseases, including AI-assisted diagnosis in chest X-rays for pulmonary diseases, MRI for brain tumor detection, CT scans for cardiovascular assessment, ultrasound for breast cancer screening, and retinal image analysis for diabetic retinopathy. By examining these specific applications, we highlight the versatility and potential of AI to address diverse clinical challenges.

However, the integration of AI into medical image diagnosis is not without its challenges. This review will critically examine the limitations of current AI models, including data bias and generalizability issues, the lack of explainability and interpretability in deep learning models, and the regulatory and ethical considerations surrounding the use of AI in healthcare. Addressing these challenges is crucial for ensuring the responsible and equitable deployment of AI in clinical practice. Finally, the review will explore future perspectives and emerging trends in the field, such as federated learning for collaborative model development, AI-powered radiomics and personalized medicine, the role of AI in integrated diagnostic workflows, and self-supervised learning for analyzing images with limited labeled data. These emerging trends promise to further enhance the capabilities of AI in medical image diagnosis and pave the way for a future where AI seamlessly integrates into clinical workflows, improving patient care and outcomes.

Advances in AI-Powered Medical Image Analysis Techniques

Deep learning has significantly advanced medical image segmentation (MIS), a critical process in disease diagnosis, treatment planning, and surgical navigation [1]. The application of deep learning models, particularly those inspired by U-Net architectures, has yielded remarkable results across various imaging modalities and clinical contexts, assisting clinicians in computer-assisted diagnosis, therapy, and surgical planning [3]. For instance, Guo et al. demonstrated that a deep convolutional neural network, when trained with multimodal images (MRI, CT, and PET), outperformed networks trained with single-modal images in segmenting soft tissue sarcoma lesions [3]. Furthermore, innovative network architectures, such as CTO, which combines Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), Vision Transformers (ViT), and a boundary detection operator, have achieved state-of-the-art accuracy in medical image segmentation, balancing accuracy and efficiency [4].

Building upon the advancements in image segmentation, Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) have emerged as a cornerstone in AI-powered medical image analysis for disease detection and classification [5]. Their inherent ability to automatically learn hierarchical features from images makes them particularly well-suited for this task. Sarawagi et al., for example, showcased the effectiveness of CNNs in detecting tuberculosis (TB) from chest X-ray images, achieving a high accuracy of approximately 96.57% [6]. Similarly,

© By the author(s); licensee Mason Publish Group (MPG), this work for open access publication is under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0). (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Guo et al. proposed a deep CNN classifier, coupled with a sliding window algorithm, for crack detection in cracked tooth syndrome images, attaining an average accuracy of 90.39% [7]. Aaraji et al. also explored various deep learning architectures for Alzheimer's disease (AD) detection using brain MRI images and segmented images, with the ResNet architecture demonstrating the highest prediction accuracy (90.83% for original brain images and 93.50% for processed images) [8]. Vigneshwari et al. proposed a method that conducts brain tumor segmentation using a modified Dense-Net architecture and then classifies them into those with Alzheimer's disease, Parkinson's disease, or normal brain function using a fully connected layer and softmax activation function [9]. The success of CNNs is largely attributed to their capacity to learn intricate patterns and features directly from image data, thereby eliminating the need for manual feature engineering [5].

Addressing the challenge of limited datasets in the medical field, Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) have become a prominent research area in deep learning, particularly for their ability to generate synthetic data [11]. GANs can learn from existing training data and generate new data exhibiting similar characteristics [11]. For instance, Attention Mechanisms based Cycle-Consistent GAN (AM-CGAN) leverages attention mechanisms to generate synthetic chest X-ray (CXR) images that closely resemble real medical images and highlight disease-specific characteristics, achieving a high precision of 98.15% [11]. Furthermore, GANs are being investigated for medical image enhancement, with studies demonstrating their effectiveness in improving image quality while preserving detailed information and realism [12]. However, denoising diffusion probabilistic models have recently addressed GANs' limited diversity and fidelity [18]. Müller-Franzes et al. introduced Medfusion, a conditional latent DDPM, and demonstrated that it exceeds GANs in terms of diversity (recall) and exhibits equal or higher fidelity (precision) across fundoscopy, radiographs, and histopathology images [18].

In parallel with these developments, transformer-based models have emerged as powerful tools in medical image analysis, leveraging self-attention mechanisms to capture global dependencies and mitigate spatial biases inherent in CNNs [14]. Researchers have extended transformers to medical image segmentation tasks, resulting in promising models [15]. For example, the TransUNet architecture has demonstrated desirable performance on multiple medical image segmentation datasets [16]. Das et al. proposed a coordinate-based embedding that encodes the geometry of medical images, capturing physical coordinate and resolution information without the need for resampling or resizing [14]. Experiments with UNETR and SwinUNETR models using this embedding for infarct segmentation on MRI data showed substantial improvements in mean Dice score by 6.5% and 7.6%, respectively [14]. The integration of transformers into U-Net architectures has proven particularly fruitful, enhancing accuracy and efficiency in medical image analysis [17]. However, the sample size of medical image segmentation still restricts the growth of the transformer, even though it can be relieved by a pretraining model [15]. Therefore, researchers are still designing models using transformer and convolution operators [15]. Furthermore, novel approaches like EG-SpikeFormer, an SNN architecture incorporating eye-gaze data, are being explored to guide model attention to diagnostically relevant regions, potentially addressing shortcut learning issues and improving interpretability [18].

Applications of AI in Specific Medical Imaging Modalities and Diseases

AI is rapidly transforming medical image diagnosis across various modalities and diseases, demonstrating significant potential for improving accuracy, efficiency, and accessibility. This section will explore specific applications of AI in chest X-ray imaging for pulmonary diseases, MRI for brain tumor detection and characterization, CT scans for cardiovascular disease assessment, ultrasound image analysis for breast cancer screening, and retinal image analysis for diabetic retinopathy.

AI-assisted diagnosis has shown promising results in chest X-ray imaging for pulmonary diseases. Studies have demonstrated the capacity of AI systems to achieve performance levels comparable to, and in some cases exceeding, those of experienced radiologists in detecting conditions such as COVID-19 pneumonia and pulmonary arterial hypertension. For instance, Ippolito et al. reported that an AI system achieved high

© By the author(s); licensee Mason Publish Group (MPG), this work for open access publication is under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0). (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

sensitivity (94.7%) and specificity (80.2%) in detecting COVID-19 pneumonia [19]. Similarly, Imai et al. developed an AI algorithm for detecting pulmonary arterial hypertension using chest X-ray images, achieving an impressive area under the curve (AUC) of 0.988 [20]. Furthermore, research by Tzeng et al. highlighted the diagnostic potential of AI-assisted chest X-ray scans for COVID-19 detection, reporting a pooled sensitivity of 0.9472 and specificity of 0.9610 across multiple studies [21]. Deep learning networks, such as ResNet101, have also demonstrated high success rates in classifying COVID-19, viral pneumonia, and normal images, as shown by Yenikaya et al. [29].

Moving beyond pulmonary applications, AI has made substantial strides in the detection and characterization of brain tumors using MRI, considered the gold standard for brain tumor diagnosis [25, 29]. The effectiveness of deep learning in solving image-based problems has led to its widespread adoption in medical imaging [23]. Mathivanan et al. demonstrated the potential of MobileNetv3 architecture, achieving an accuracy of 99.75% in brain tumor diagnosis, surpassing other existing methods [31]. Segmentation of brain tumors from multi-modal MRI images, crucial for treatment planning, has also benefited from deep learning advancements [25]. These models encompass CNN-based architectures, vision transformer-based models, and hybrid approaches [25]. Rahman et al. introduced an AI-driven methodology using the EfficientNetB2 architecture, achieving high validation accuracies across different datasets, illustrating the performance gains achievable through advanced image preprocessing techniques [30].

The application of AI extends to cardiovascular disease assessment through enhanced analysis of CT scans. Coronary computed tomography angiography (CCTA), when coupled with AI, allows for non-invasive evaluation of atherosclerotic plaque, a critical factor in predicting major adverse cardiac events [27]. AI algorithms can simulate human expertise to improve clinical efficiency [27]. AI-enabled plaque analysis on CCTA has shown strong correlation and high accuracy compared with intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) in quantifying and characterizing plaque volumes [31]. A case study by Cho et al. demonstrated the ability of AI-augmented CCTA to consistently assess the progression of plaque volumes, stenosis, and atherosclerotic plaque characteristics over an extended period [29].

AI-driven analysis is also being applied to ultrasound images for breast cancer screening, offering a potentially more accessible and cost-effective approach, particularly for women with dense breasts [30]. Automated Breast Ultrasound (ABUS) systems, combined with AI algorithms, provide multiplanar 3D visualization for whole-breast assessment with operator-agnostic acquisition [30]. A pilot project in Hungary explored the use of ABUS to complement mammography in breast cancer screening, yielding promising results [31].

Finally, AI has significantly advanced retinal image analysis for diabetic retinopathy (DR) detection, providing a pathway to automated, efficient, and accurate screening [32]. Deep learning models, particularly convolutional neural networks (CNNs), are used to identify DR features in retinal fundus images [43, 42]. One study evaluated an AI system integrated into a handheld smartphone-based retinal camera, achieving high sensitivity for detecting more than mild DR [33]. Another study using MATLAB-retrained AlexNet CNN achieved high validation accuracies in identifying non-disease, glaucoma, and diabetic retinopathy [34]. Automated retinal image analysis software (ARIAS) like EyeArt has demonstrated similar sensitivity to human graders in detecting diabetic retinopathy using both wide-field confocal scanning images and standard fundus images [35]. These advancements suggest that AI-powered retinal image analysis can significantly improve the efficiency and accessibility of DR screening programs [41, 44].

Challenges and Limitations of AI in Medical Image Diagnosis

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into medical image diagnosis promises enhanced accuracy and efficiency, yet several challenges and limitations impede its widespread and responsible adoption. These obstacles span technical, ethical, and regulatory domains, demanding careful consideration and proactive mitigation strategies.

One critical area of concern revolves around data bias and the generalizability of AI models [36]. While AI

© By the author(s); licensee Mason Publish Group (MPG), this work for open access publication is under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0). (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

algorithms possess the potential to reduce cognitive biases inherent in human interpretation, they are susceptible to internalizing and amplifying biases present within their training data. This can lead to skewed outcomes and potentially compromise patient care. The National Institutes of Health has emphasized the mitigation of unintended bias as a crucial translational goal that must be addressed early in the AI development lifecycle [37]. Such biases can stem from various sources, including the underrepresentation of specific demographic groups, variations in image acquisition protocols across different institutions, and inconsistencies in data labeling practices [36]. Overcoming these limitations necessitates meticulous data curation efforts aimed at minimizing biases and ensuring the creation of standardized, reproducible AI models [38]. Furthermore, rigorous performance evaluations that specifically assess generalizability, fairness, and overall trustworthiness are essential prerequisites for the successful integration of AI/ML algorithms into diverse clinical settings [39].

Another significant impediment to the deployment of AI in medical image diagnosis is the inherent lack of explainability and interpretability in many deep learning models [40]. Despite achieving high levels of accuracy, the "black box" nature of these models makes it difficult to discern the reasoning behind their diagnostic conclusions. This opacity can erode trust and hinder acceptance among clinicians, who require a clear understanding of a model's rationale to validate its findings and effectively integrate them into their clinical decision-making processes [40]. For instance, the lack of transparency has been identified as a significant problem in AI tools designed for heart condition assessment using Cardiac Magnetic Resonance (CMR) imaging [41]. Researchers are actively exploring methods to enhance the explainability of AI systems. Approaches such as Discovering and Testing with Concept Activation Vectors (D-TCAV) aim to extract the underlying features crucial for cardiac disease diagnosis from MRI data [41]. In a similar vein, investigations into the performance of various interpretation methods on Vision Transformers (ViT) applied to chest X-ray classification have revealed that Layerwise relevance propagation for transformers outperforms Local interpretable model-agnostic explanations and Attention visualization [42]. These efforts are crucial for fostering clinician trust and facilitating the seamless integration of AI into diagnostic workflows.

Beyond technical considerations, the integration of AI into healthcare raises significant regulatory and ethical concerns [43]. These include data privacy, algorithm bias, transparency, and accountability [46, 47, 53]. AI algorithms trained on biased datasets can perpetuate and exacerbate existing health disparities, resulting in inequitable outcomes for certain patient populations . Ensuring data privacy and obtaining informed consent are also of paramount importance, particularly given the increasing reliance on large medical datasets [44]. Pre-implementation, interdisciplinary discussions are essential to address pathway-specific considerations, emphasizing the need for transparency and robust oversight in AI-driven decision-making [45]. Therefore, the development and deployment of AI in medical imaging must adhere to stringent ethical guidelines and regulatory frameworks to ensure responsible and equitable use.

Finally, data privacy and security represent critical concerns when dealing with sensitive medical image data. The increasing reliance on digital medical imaging technologies necessitates robust protection against unauthorized access and potential cyberattacks [46]. Traditional encryption techniques may prove insufficient in the healthcare sector, prompting the development of innovative approaches such as the Crypto-Aware Elliptic Curve Diffie Hellman with Key Derivation Function (CAECDH-KDF) encryption technique to enhance the security of medical images [46]. Furthermore, with the proliferation of IoT applications and cloud-based storage solutions, securing medical data in the cloud is of utmost importance [50, 62]. Approaches such as adding noise to medical records and denoising them using deep learning techniques, as proposed by Gowri S, offer lightweight cloud architectures that facilitate effective communication of medical data while preserving privacy [47]. De-identification of DICOM medical data is also crucial for safeguarding patient privacy, necessitating a systematic approach to remove Personally Identifiable Information (PII) [48]. Addressing these data privacy and security challenges is essential for maintaining patient trust and ensuring the responsible use of AI in medical image diagnosis.

© By the author(s); licensee Mason Publish Group (MPG), this work for open access publication is under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0). (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Future Perspectives and Emerging Trends

The field of AI in medical image diagnosis is poised for significant advancements, driven by emerging trends that address existing limitations and unlock new possibilities. These include collaborative model development via federated learning, the application of AI-powered radiomics for personalized medicine, the integration of AI into clinical workflows and decision support systems, and the use of self-supervised learning to overcome the scarcity of labeled data.

Federated learning (FL) has emerged as a compelling solution for collaborative AI model development, particularly in the context of medical image diagnosis, where data privacy and limited datasets at individual institutions pose significant challenges. FL allows multiple institutions to collaboratively train a global model without directly sharing their sensitive local datasets, thereby upholding patient privacy [49]. This is especially crucial given the increasing volume of medical images and the difficulties associated with obtaining accurate annotations for training AI models [49]. Butt et al. demonstrated the efficacy of this approach with a collaborative FL architecture for COVID-19 screening using chest X-ray images, showing that a global, iteratively refined FL model can surpass the performance of local models in classification accuracy [50]. Further innovation in FL is seen in frameworks like MixFedGAN, proposed by Yang et al., which addresses statistical heterogeneity and limited labeling issues in federated networks, yielding promising results in COVID-19 infection segmentation and prostate MRI segmentation [51]. The American College of Radiology (ACR) has also taken a proactive step with ACR Connect, a vendor-neutral software suite designed to democratize AI and facilitate federated learning across institutions, eliminating the need to transfer data offsite [52].

Building upon the diagnostic capabilities of AI, radiomics is rapidly evolving as a powerful tool for personalized medicine, enabling clinicians to gain deeper insights into individual patients and tailor treatments accordingly [53]. Radiomics involves the extraction of a large number of quantitative features from medical images, which are then analyzed using machine learning techniques to predict various clinical endpoints [54]. Radiomic analysis has demonstrated promising performance in diagnosis, treatment response prediction, and prognosis, highlighting its potential as a non-invasive auxiliary tool for personalized medicine [54]. AI algorithms can identify novel biomarkers from imaging data to assist in tumor screening, detection, diagnosis, treatment planning, and prognosis prediction, ultimately leading to improved clinical outcomes through personalized treatment strategies [53]. The integration of radiomics with other "omics" data, such as genomics, transcriptomics, and proteomics, further amplifies its potential for personalized medicine [55]. Saba et al. proposed an artificial intelligence (AI)—based preventive, precision, and personalized (aiP3) CVD/Stroke risk model, which combines radiomic-based biomarkers (RBBM) and genomic-based biomarkers (GBBM) to improve the overall specificity of CVD risk [56]. Attanasio et al. suggested that AI applications and radiomic analysis may lead to patient-specific treatments and management of several diseases linked with excessive body fat [57].

As AI models become more sophisticated, their integration into diagnostic workflows and clinical decision support systems (CDSS) is becoming increasingly prevalent. AI-based imaging software, such as Veye Lung Nodules (VLN), aids in the detection, classification, and measurement of pulmonary nodules in CT scans, with clinicians reporting ease of use and minimal disruption to existing workflows. However, it is crucial to acknowledge that the performance of AI tools can vary and is influenced by factors such as integration into existing workflows, divisions of labor, knowledge, technical configuration, and infrastructure. Carmichael's research emphasizes the importance of how AI outputs are presented to clinicians, noting that risk-averse tendencies can significantly affect their interpretation and subsequent clinical decisions, potentially leading to suboptimal behaviors or misleading information [58]. Further, Barinov et al. demonstrated that incorporating an AI-based decision support system into ultrasound image analysis could improve diagnostic performance, underscoring the need for careful evaluation of efficacy when integrated into existing clinical workflows [59].

© By the author(s); licensee Mason Publish Group (MPG), this work for open access publication is under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0). (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Finally, self-supervised learning (SSL) is emerging as a transformative approach to address the persistent challenge of limited labeled data in medical image analysis. Traditional supervised learning methods typically require large, expertly annotated datasets, which are often expensive and time-consuming to acquire, particularly in the medical domain. SSL offers a way to leverage the abundance of unlabeled medical images to pre-train models, enabling them to learn useful representations that can be fine-tuned with limited labeled data for specific tasks. Felfeliyan et al. proposed a self-supervised pretraining method involving applying distortions to unlabeled images and training a Mask-RCNN architecture to localize the distortion and recover the original pixels, which improved the Dice score by up to 18% in knee effusion segmentation compared to training with limited annotated data [60]. Xing et al. demonstrated that a Masked AutoEncoder (MAE) based on Vision Transformer (ViT) achieved superior performance in COVID-19 chest X-ray image classification compared to training from scratch or transfer learning, especially when working with limited datasets, achieving an accuracy of 0.985 and an AUC of 0.9957 [61]. Yuan et al. proposed a semi-supervised skin cancer diagnostic model based on Self-feedback Threshold Focal Learning (STFL), capable of utilizing partial labeled and a large scale of unlabeled medical images for training models in unseen scenarios, demonstrating robust performance with limited annotated samples [62]. Similarly, LoGoNet, introduced by Monsefi et al., integrates a novel feature extractor within a U-shaped architecture, leveraging Large Kernel Attention (LKA) and a dual encoding strategy to capture both long-range and short-range feature dependencies adeptly, and they also proposed a novel SSL method tailored for 3D images to compensate for the lack of large labeled datasets [63]. Cai et al. proposed a universal self-supervised Transformer framework, named Uni4Eye, to discover the inherent image property and capture domain-specific feature embedding in ophthalmic images [64]. These studies collectively demonstrate the potential of SSL to significantly enhance the performance of AI models in medical image analysis, particularly in scenarios where labeled data is scarce.

Conclusion

In summary, this review has highlighted the remarkable progress of AI in medical image diagnosis, showcasing its potential to revolutionize healthcare through enhanced accuracy, efficiency, and accessibility. From deep learning-powered image segmentation and disease classification to AI-assisted diagnosis across various medical imaging modalities, AI is demonstrating its versatility and ability to address diverse clinical challenges. While challenges remain, including data bias, lack of explainability, and regulatory concerns, the field is rapidly evolving, with emerging trends like federated learning, radiomics, integrated diagnostic workflows, and self-supervised learning paving the way for a more personalized and data-driven approach to medicine.

Looking ahead, the future of AI in medical image diagnosis is brimming with possibilities. As AI models become more sophisticated and integrated into clinical practice, we can envision a future where clinicians are empowered with powerful tools to make more informed decisions, leading to earlier diagnoses, more effective treatments, and ultimately, improved patient outcomes. The ongoing research and innovation in this field hold the promise of transforming healthcare as we know it, ushering in an era of precision medicine where AI plays a central role in delivering personalized and patient-centric care. It is now time to embrace the transformative power of AI and work collaboratively to realize its full potential in improving the health and well-being of individuals worldwide.

Reference

[1] Yun Zi, Qi Wang, Zijun Gao, X. Cheng, Taiyuan Mei, Research on the Application of Deep Learning in Medical Image Segmentation and 3D Reconstruction, Academic Journal of Science and Technology, 2024.

[2] Pierre-Henri Conze, G. Andrade-Miranda, Vivek Kumar Singh, V. Jaouen, D. Visvikis, Current and Emerging Trends in Medical Image Segmentation With Deep Learning, IEEE Transactions on Radiation and Plasma Medical Sciences, 2023, 7, 545-569.

© By the author(s); licensee Mason Publish Group (MPG), this work for open access publication is under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0). (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

[3] Zhe Guo, Xiang Li, Heng Huang, Ning Guo, Quanzheng Li, Deep Learning-Based Image Segmentation on Multimodal Medical Imaging, IEEE Transactions on Radiation and Plasma Medical Sciences, 2019, 3, 162-169.
[4] Yi-Mou Lin, Dong-Ming Zhang, Xiaori Fang, Yufan Chen, Kwang-Ting Cheng, Hao Chen, Rethinking Boundary Detection in Deep Learning Models for Medical Image Segmentation, null, 2023, 730-742.
[5] Abhinav Deshmukh, Artificial Intelligence in Medical Imaging: Applications of Deep Learning for Disease Detection and Diagnosis, Universal Research Reports, 2024.
[6] Karan Sarawagi, Ashutosh Pagrotra, Hardik Dhiman, Navjot Singh, Self-Trained Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) for Tuberculosis Diagnosis in Medical Imaging, Cureus, 2024, 16.
[7] Juncheng Guo, Yuyan Wu, Lizhi Chen, Guanghua Ge, Yadong Tang, Wenlong Wang, Automatic Detection of Cracks in Cracked Tooth Based on Binary Classification Convolutional Neural Networks, Applied Bionics and Biomechanics, 2022, 2022.
[8] Z. S. Aaraji, Hawraa Abbas, Automatic Classification of Alzheimer's Disease using brain MRI data and deep Convolutional Neural Networks, ArXiv, 2022, abs/2204.00068.
[9] K. Vigneshwari, Pavithra R, Brain Tumors Classification Using Convolutional Neural Networks, Middle East Journal of Applied Science & Technology, 2023.
[10] Sadeena Sabnam, Sivakumar Rajagopal, Application of generative adversarial networks in image, face reconstruction and medical imaging: challenges and the current progress, Computer Methods in Biomechanics and Biomedical Engineering: Imaging & Visualization, 2024, 12.
[11] Et al. Prakash Patil, GAN-Enhanced Medical Image Synthesis: Augmenting CXR Data for Disease Diagnosis and Improving Deep Learning Performance, Journal of Electrical Systems, 2024.
[12] Hui Li, Research on Medical Image Enhancement Method Based on Conditional Entropy Generative Adversarial Networks, Applied Mathematics and Nonlinear Sciences, 2024, 9.
[13] Gustav Müller-Franzes, J. Niehues, Firas Khader, Soroosh Tayebi Arasteh, Christoph Haarburger, C. Kuhl, Tian Wang, T. Han, S. Nebelung, Jakob Nikolas Kather, D. Truhn, A multimodal comparison of latent denoising diffusion probabilistic models and generative adversarial networks for medical image synthesis, Scientific Reports, 2022, 13.
[14] B. K. Das, Gengyan Zhao, Saahil Islam, Thomas J. Re, D. Comaniciu, E. Gibson, Andreas Maier, Co-ordinate-based positional embedding that captures resolution to enhance transformer's performance in medical image analysis, Scientific Reports, 2024, 14.
[15] Qiumei Pu, Zuoxin Xi, Shuai Yin, Zhe Zhao, Lina Zhao, Advantages of transformer and its application for medical image segmentation: a survey, BioMedical Engineering OnLine, 2024, 23.
[16] Wenjian Yao, Jiajun Bai, Wei Liao, Yuheng Chen, Mengjuan Liu, Yao Xie, From CNN to Transformer: A Review of Medical Image Segmentation Models, Journal of imaging informatics in medicine, 2023.
[17] Zixin Hao, Comparative Analysis of Transformer Integration in U-net Networks for Enhanced Medical Image Segmentation, Highlights in Science, Engineering and Technology, 2024.
[18] Yi Pan, Hanqi Jiang, Junhao Chen, Yiwei Li, Huaqin Zhao, Yifan Zhou, Peng Shu, Zihao Wu, Zheng Liu, Dajiang Zhu, Xiang Li, Yohannes Abate, Tianming Liu, EG-SpikeFormer: Eye-Gaze Guided Transformer on Spiking Neural Networks for Medical Image Analysis, 2025 IEEE 22nd International Symposium on Biomedical Imaging (ISBI), 2024, 1-5.
[19] Davide Ippolito, C. Maino, Davide Gandola, P. Franco, Radu Miron, Vlad Barbu, Marco Bologna, R. Corso, Mihaela Breaban, Artificial Intelligence Applied to Chest X-ray: A Reliable Tool to Assess the Differential Diagnosis of Lung Pneumonia in the Emergency Department, Diseases, 2023, 11.
[20] Shun Imai, S. Sakao, Jun Nagata, A. Naito, Ayumi Sekine, T. Sugiura, A. Shigeta, Akira Nishiyama, Hajime Yokota, Norihiro Shimizu, Takeshi Sugawara, Toshiaki Nomi, Seiwa Honda, Keisuke Ogaki, N. Tanabe, Takayuki Baba, Takuji Suzuki, Artificial intelligence-based model for predicting

© By the author(s); licensee Mason Publish Group (MPG), this work for open access publication is under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0). (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

pulmonary arterial hypertension on chest x-ray images, BMC Pulmonary Medicine, 2024, 24.
[21] I. Tzeng, Po-Chun Hsieh, Wen-Lin Su, Tsung-Han Hsieh, Sheng-Chang Chang, Artificial Intelligence-Assisted Chest X-ray for the Diagnosis of COVID-19: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis, Diagnostics, 2023, 13.
[22] M. A. Yenikaya, Gökhan Kerse, Onur Oktaysoy, Hongyu Miao, Amir Faisal, Artificial intelligence in the healthcare sector: comparison of deep learning networks using chest X-ray images, Frontiers in Public Health, 2024, 12.
[23] Madeleine Shaver, P. Kohanteb, C. Chiou, M. Bardis, C. Chantaduly, D. Bota, C. Filippi, B. Weinberg, J. Grinband, D. Chow, P. Chang, Optimizing Neuro-Oncology Imaging: A Review of Deep Learning Approaches for Glioma Imaging, Cancers, 2019, 11.
[24] S. Mathivanan, Sridevi Sonaimuthu, Sankar Murugesan, Hariharan Rajadurai, Basu Dev Shivahare, Mohd Asif Shah, Employing deep learning and transfer learning for accurate brain tumor detection, Scientific Reports, 2024, 14.
[25] Z. Abidin, R. A. Naqvi, Amir Haider, Hyung Seok Kim, Daesik Jeong, S. Lee, Recent deep learning-based brain tumor segmentation models using multi-modality magnetic resonance imaging: a prospective survey, Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology, 2024, 12.
[26] A. M. J. Z. Rahman, Muskan Gupta, S. Aarathi, T. Mahesh, V. V. Kumar, S. Y. Kumaran, Suresh Guluwadi, Advanced AI-driven approach for enhanced brain tumor detection from MRI images utilizing EfficientNetB2 with equalization and homomorphic filtering, BMC medical informatics and decision making, 2024, 24 1, 113.
[27] Qian Chen, Fan Zhou, Guanghui Xie, C. Tang, Xiaofei Gao, Yamei Zhang, Xindao Yin, Hui Xu, Longjiang Zhang, Advances in Artificial Intelligence-Assisted Coronary Computed Tomographic Angiography for Atherosclerotic Plaque Characterization, Reviews in Cardiovascular Medicine, 2024, 25 .
[28] Jagat Narula, Thomas D. Stuckey, Gaku Nakazawa, Amir Ahmadi, M. Matsumura, Kersten Petersen, Saba Mirza, Nicholas Ng, S. Mullen, M. Schaap, Jonathan Leipsic, C. Rogers, Charles A. Taylor, H. Yacoub, Himanshu Gupta, Hitoshi Matsuo, S. Rinehart, A. Maehara, Prospective deep learning–based quantitative assessment of coronary plaque by computed tomography angiography compared with intravascular ultrasound: the REVEALPLAQUE study, European Heart Journal Cardiovascular Imaging, 2024, 25, 1287 - 1295.
[29] Geoffrey W. Cho, L. Anderson, C. Quesada, R. Jennings, J. Min, J. Earls, R. Karlsberg, Serial analysis of coronary artery disease progression by artificial intelligence assisted coronary computed tomography angiography: early clinical experience, BMC Cardiovascular Disorders, 2022, 22.
[30] Claire K. Park, Tiana Trumpour, Amal Aziz, J. Bax, D. Tessier, L. Gardi, Aaron Fenster, Cost-effective, portable, patient-dedicated three-dimensional automated breast ultrasound for point-of-care breast cancer screening, Scientific Reports, 2023, 13.
[31] Judit Tittmann, M. Csanádi, T. Ágh, G. Széles, Z. Vokó, Á. Kallai, Development of a breast cancer screening protocol to use automated breast ultrasound in a local setting, Frontiers in Public Health, 2023, 10.
[32] Josef Huemer, S. Wagner, D. Sim, The Evolution of Diabetic Retinopathy Screening Programmes: A Chronology of Retinal Photography from 35 mm Slides to Artificial Intelligence, Clinical Ophthalmology (Auckland, N.Z.), 2020, 14, 2021 - 2035.
[33] F. Penha, Bruna Milene Priotto, Francini Hennig, Bernardo Przysiezny, Bruno Antunes Wiethorn, Julia Orsi, Isabelle Beatriz Freccia Nagel, Brenda Wiggers, J. Stuchi, Diego Lencione, Paulo Victor de Souza Prado, Fernando Yamanaka, Fernando Lojudice, F. Malerbi, Single retinal image for diabetic retinopathy screening: performance of a handheld device with embedded artificial intelligence, International Journal of Retina and Vitreous, 2023, 9.
[34] Isaac Arias-Serrano, Paolo A. Velásquez-López, Laura N. Avila-Briones, Fanny C. Laurido-Mo-

© By the author(s); licensee Mason Publish Group (MPG), this work for open access publication is under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0). (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

ra, Fernando Villalba-Meneses, Andrés Tirado-Espín, Jonathan Cruz-Valera, D. Almeida-Galárraga, Artificial intelligence based glaucoma and diabetic retinopathy detection using MATLAB — retrained AlexNet convolutional neural network, F1000Research, 2023, 12.

[35] A. Olvera-Barrios, T. Heeren, K. Balaskas, Ryan Chambers, L. Bolter, C. Egan, A. Tufail, John Anderson, Diagnostic accuracy of diabetic retinopathy grading by an artificial intelligence-enabled algorithm compared with a human standard for wide-field true-colour confocal scanning and standard digital retinal images, British Journal of Ophthalmology, 2020, 105, 265 - 270.

[36] Burak Koçak, A. Ponsiglione, A. Stanzione, Christian Bluethgen, J. Santinha, L. Ugga, Merel Huisman, M. Klontzas, Roberto Cannella, Renato Cuocolo, Bias in artificial intelligence for medical imaging: fundamentals, detection, avoidance, mitigation, challenges, ethics, and prospects, Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology, 2024, 31, 75 - 88.

[37] R. Shad, J. Cunningham, E. Ashley, C. Langlotz, W. Hiesinger, Designing clinically translatable artificial intelligence systems for high-dimensional medical imaging, Nature Machine Intelligence, 2021, 3, 929 - 935.

[38] Sanaz Vahdati, Bardia Khosravi, Elham Mahmoudi, Kuan Zhang, Pouria Rouzrokh, S. Faghani, Mana Moassefi, Aylin Tahmasebi, Katherine P. Andriole, Peter D. Chang, Keyvan Farahani, Mona G Flores, Les Folio, Sina Houshmand, M. Giger, J. Gichoya, BJ Erickson, A Guideline for Open-Source Tools to Make Medical Imaging Data Ready for Artificial Intelligence Applications: A Society of Imaging Informatics in Medicine (SIIM) Survey, Journal of Imaging Informatics in Medicine, 2024, 37, 2015 - 2024.

[39] Ravi K. Samala, Karen Drukker, A. Shukla-Dave, Heang-Ping Chan, B. Sahiner, N. Petrick, H. Greenspan, U. Mahmood, Ronald M. Summers, Georgia Tourassi, T. Deserno, D. Regge, J. Näppi, Hiroyuki Yoshida, Zhimin Huo, Quan Chen, Daniel Vergara, Kenny H. Cha, Richard Mazurchuk, Kevin T Grizzard, Henkjan Huisman, Lia Morra, Kenji Suzuki, Samuel G. Armato, Lubomir Hadjiiski, AI and machine learning in medical imaging: key points from development to translation., BJR artificial intelligence, 2024, 1 1, ubae006.

[40] Marwah K Muttair, M. Z. Lighvan, Breast Cancer Classification Utilizing Deep Learning Techniques on Medical Images: A Comprehensive Review, Journal of Electrical Systems, 2024.

[41] Adrianna Janik, J. Dodd, Georgiana Ifrim, K. Sankaran, K. Curran, Interpretability of a deep learning model in the application of cardiac MRI segmentation with an ACDC challenge dataset, null, 2021, 11596, 1159636 - 1159636-12.

[42] P. Komorowski, Hubert Baniecki, P. Biecek, Towards Evaluating Explanations of Vision Transformers for Medical Imaging, 2023 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshops (CVPRW), 2023, 3726-3732.

[43] Dr. Satender Khatri, The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare: Applications, Challenges, and Ethical Considerations, International Journal for Research Publication and Seminar, 2024.

[44] Adekunle Oyeyemi Adeniyi, Jeremiah Olawumi Arowoogun, Chioma Anthonia Okolo, Rawlings Chidi, Oloruntoba Babawarun, Ethical considerations in healthcare IT: A review of data privacy and patient consent issues, World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2024.

[45] Elizabeth Redrup Hill, C. Mitchell, T. Brigden, Alison Hall, Ethical and legal considerations influencing human involvement in the implementation of artificial intelligence in a clinical pathway: A multi-stakeholder perspective, Frontiers in Digital Health, 2023, 5.

[46] M. Senthilkumar, K. Suthendran, Vinayakumar Ravi, Enhancing Medical Image Security through a Novel Framework: Crypto-aware Elliptic Curve Diffie-hellman with Key Derivation Function, The Open Bioinformatics Journal, 2024.

[47] G. S, Gowri S, Securing medical image privacy in cloud using deep learning network, Journal of Cloud Computing, 2023, 12, 1-15.

[48] Arsalan Shahid, Mehran H. Bazargani, Paul Banahan, Brian Mac Namee, Mo-

© By the author(s); licensee Mason Publish Group (MPG), this work for open access publication is under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0). (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

hand Tahar Kechadi, Ceara Treacy, Gilbert Regan, P. MacMahon, A Two-Stage De-Identification Process for Privacy-Preserving Medical Image Analysis, Healthcare, 2022, 10.
[49] Dianwen Ng, Xiang Lan, Melissa Min-Szu Yao, Wing P. Chan, Mengling Feng, Federated learning: a collaborative effort to achieve better medical imaging models for individual sites that have small labelled datasets., Quantitative imaging in medicine and surgery, 2021, 11 2, 852-857.
[50] Maryum Butt, Noshina Tariq, Muhammad Ashraf, Hatoon S. Alsagri, Syed Atif Moqurrab, Haya Abdullah A. Alhakbani, Yousef A. Alduraywish, A Fog-Based Privacy-Preserving Federated Learning System for Smart Healthcare Applications, Electronics, 2023.
[51] Liuyan Yang, Juanjuan He, Yue-wen Fu, Zilin Luo, Federated Learning for Medical Imaging Segmentation via Dynamic Aggregation on Non-IID Data Silos, Electronics, 2023.
[52] Laura Brink, Laura P Coombs, Deepak Kattil Veettil, Kashyap Kuchipudi, S. Marella, Kendall Schmidt, S. S. Nair, M. Tilkin, Christopher Treml, Ken Chang, Jayashree Kalpathy-Cramer, ACR's Connect and AI-LAB technical framework, JAMIA Open, 2022, 5.
[53] Jin Liao, Xiaoying Li, Yujie Gan, Shuang Han, Pengfei Rong, Wei Wang, Wei Li, Li Zhou, Artificial intelligence assists precision medicine in cancer treatment, Frontiers in Oncology, 2023, 12.
[54] Yuanpeng Zhang, Xinyu Zhang, Yuting Cheng, Bing Li, Xinzhi Teng, Jiang Zhang, Saikit Lam, Taoping Zhou, Zongrui Ma, Jiabao Sheng, V. C. Tam, S. Lee, H. Ge, Jing Cai, Artificial intelligence-driven radiomics study in cancer: the role of feature engineering and modeling, Military Medical Research, 2023, 10.
[55] Isamu Hoshino, H. Yokota, Radiogenomics of gastroenterological cancer: The dawn of personalized medicine with artificial intelligence-based image analysis, Annals of Gastroenterological Surgery, 2021, 5, 427 - 435.
[56] L. Saba, M. Maindarkar, A. Johri, Laura E. Mantella, John R. Laird, N. N. Khanna, K. Paraskevas, Zoltán Ruzsa, Manudeep K. Kalra, J. F. E. Fernandes, Seemant Chaturvedi, A. Nicolaides, Vijay Rathore, Narpinder Singh, E. Isenovic, Vijay Viswanathan, Mostafa Fouda, Jasjit S. Suri, UltraAIGenomics: Artificial Intelligence-Based Cardiovascular Disease Risk Assessment by Fusion of Ultrasound-Based Radiomics and Genomics Features for Preventive, Personalized and Precision Medicine: A Narrative Review, Reviews in Cardiovascular Medicine, 2024, 25.
[57] Simona Attanasio, S. Forte, G. Restante, M. Gabelloni, G. Guglielmi, E. Neri, Artificial intelligence, radiomics and other horizons in body composition assessment., Quantitative imaging in medicine and surgery, 2020, 10 8,1650-1660.
[58] Josie Carmichael, Translating Human-Centred Artificial Intelligence for Clinical Decision Support Systems into Practice: A Medical Retina Case Study., Extended Abstracts of the CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, 2024.
[59] L. Barinov, A. Jairaj, Murray D Becker, S. Seymour, E. Lee, Adriann Schram, Elizabeth Lane, A. Goldszal, Diane Quigley, Lina Paster, Impact of Data Presentation on Physician Performance Utilizing Artificial Intelligence-Based Computer-Aided Diagnosis and Decision Support Systems, Journal of Digital Imaging, 2018, 32, 408 - 416.
[60] B. Felfeliyan, A. Hareendranathan, G. Kuntze, David Cornell, N. Forkert, J. Jaremko, J. Ronsky, Self-Supervised-RCNN for Medical Image Segmentation with Limited Data Annotation, Computerized medical imaging and graphics : the official journal of the Computerized Medical Imaging Society, 2022, 109,102297.
[61] Xin Xing, G. Liang, Chris Wang, Nathan Jacobs, Ai-Ling Lin, Self-Supervised Learning Application on COVID-19 Chest X-ray Image Classification Using Masked AutoEncoder, Bioengineering, 2023, 10.
[62] Weicheng Yuan, Zeyu Du, Shuo Han, Semi-supervised skin cancer diagnosis based on self-feedback threshold focal learning, Discover Oncology, 2024, 15.
[63] Amin Karimi Monsefi, Payam Karisani, Mengxi Zhou, Stacey Choi, Nathan Doble, Heng Ji,

© By the author(s); licensee Mason Publish Group (MPG), this work for open access publication is under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0). (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Srinivasan Parthasarathy, R. Ramnath, Masked LoGoNet: Fast and Accurate 3D Image Analysis for Medical Domain, Proceedings of the 30th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, 2024.

[64] Zhiyuan Cai, Li Lin, Huaqing He, Xiaoying Tang, Uni4Eye: Unified 2D and 3D Self-supervised Pre-training via Masked Image Modeling Transformer for Ophthalmic Image Classification, ArXiv, 2022, abs/2203.04614.

© By the author(s); licensee Mason Publish Group (MPG), this work for open access publication is under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0). (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)