Vol.7 Iss.6 2026 Communication & Education Review
https://doi.org/10.37420/j.cer.2026.086

The Dissolution and Reconstruction of "Heart–Hand Correspondence": Ontological Controversy and Paradigm Reconstruction of Calligraphy Education in the AI Era

Jintao Li*
Academy of Fine Arts, Northwest Normal University, 967 Anning East Road, Lanzhou, Gansu 730070, China
***Corresponding Author, Email: 2310941464@qq.com**

Abstract
From 2021 to 2026, the explosive growth of generative artificial intelligence (AIGC) has profoundly reshaped the ecosystem of traditional art education. As the core carrier of Chinese cultural heritage, calligraphy education is experiencing an unprecedented ontological crisis and paradigm transformation. Based on the review of the core literature from 2021 to 2026, this study explores the impact of technology intervention on the deep structure of calligraphy education from an interdisciplinary perspective. By comparing the views of technical determinists and humanistic subjectivity scholars, the study summarizes four controversial focuses : ontological value, technique acquisition, creative subjectivity and aesthetic standards. It is found that AI technology deconstructs the traditional" heart-hand correspondence " mechanism at the cognitive level, transforming it from an embodied life experience to a visual simulation result that can be generated by algorithms. However, in the AI era, ' mind-hand correspondence ' has not been completely eliminated, but has undergone profound deconstruction and reconstruction. Although AI can improve the efficiency of skill training and expand the breadth of cultural communication, it cannot replace human emotional experience and cultural connotation. The future of calligraphy education lies in establishing the dominant position of 'people ', using AI tools to activate traditional cognition, and realizing the organic integration of technical rationality and humanistic spirit.

Keywords:
artificial intelligence; calligraphy education; heart–hand correspondence; ontological controversy; paradigm reconstruction; human–machine collaboration

1. INTRODUCTION

Between 2021 and 2026, the explosive popularization of generative artificial intelligence (AIGC) profoundly reshaped the ecology of traditional art education. As the core carrier of Chinese cultural heritage, calligraphy education is experiencing an unprecedented ontological crisis and paradigm transformation. Technical determinism advocates that algorithms can perfectly simulate or even surpass human strokes, and reconstruct

© 2026 by the author(s); licensee Mason Publish Group, this work for open access publication is under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0). (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

the mechanism of " mind-hand correspondence " through datamation, so as to realize the standardized inheritance and global dissemination of calligraphy skills (Chang, 2026 ; chen, 2026). On the contrary, scholars who hold the view of humanistic subjectivity firmly oppose this view, pointing out that the sense of " spirit, " "mind "and "body presence " in calligraphy cannot be quantified by data ; excessive technical intervention will lead to the alienation of the essence of art, making calligraphy a cold digital symbol (Man & Jiang, 2025 ; zhu, 2025).

This debate is not only about the application efficiency of technical tools, but also touches on the fundamental value of art education : in the tension between algorithm logic and spiritual cultivation, is the essence of calligraphy education being deconstructed or reconstructed ?This controversy concerns not only the application efficiency of technical tools but also the fundamental value of art education: in the tension between algorithmic logic and spiritual cultivation, is the substance of calligraphy education being deconstructed or reconstructed? Although current research has reached a basic consensus on the efficiency of AI-enabled technique training, a fundamental divergence remains at the level of ontological value, and an ontological framework that integrates "algorithmic logic" with "spiritual cultivation" is still lacking (Liu & Wang, 2026; Xie, 2026). Based on core literature from 2021 to 2026, this study examines the impact of technological intervention on the deep structure of calligraphy education from an interdisciplinary perspective, aiming to clarify the dissolution mechanism of "heart–hand correspondence" in the digital-intelligence era and to explore a path for reconstruction under human–machine collaboration.

2. THEORETICAL FOUNDATION AND DISCIPLINARY PERSPECTIVES

2.1 Core Concept Definition and Disciplinary Tensions

"Heart–hand correspondence," as the core category of traditional Chinese calligraphy theory, refers to the high degree of unity between the writer's inner spirit (heart) and external bodily movements (hand), constituting the physiological and psychological basis of the "vivid rhythmic vitality" of the art of calligraphy. In the era of artificial intelligence, this concept faces a double deconstruction: from the perspective of cognitive psychology, attention is paid to changes in neural mechanisms in human–computer interaction, questioning whether algorithms have replaced the coordinated cognitive process of the human "eye, heart, and hand" (Huang, 2026); from the perspective of the philosophy of technology, the focus is on the dissolution of "embodied cognition" by algorithms, arguing that digital generation detached from physical bodily perception cannot carry the life texture of calligraphy (Xie, Cao, & Yao, 2025).

The field of art focuses on the integrity of aesthetic experience, emphasizing that calligraphy is not only the generation of visual symbols, but also the coding process of emotion and culture (Man & Jiang, 2025). In the field of pedagogy, it focuses on the controversy over the weight distribution between skill acquisition and aesthetic experience, and discusses whether artificial intelligence-assisted teaching improves the accuracy of skill training or dispels the aesthetic experience of ' enlightenment ' and ' insight ' in traditional apprenticeship (Li, 2026 ; zhang & Guo, 2026). This interdisciplinary perspective difference constitutes the main theoretical tension in the current research on calligraphy education : one side tries to disassemble calligraphy into quan-

© 2026 by the author(s); licensee Mason Publish Group, this work for open access publication is under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0). (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

tifiable parameters and algorithms, while the other side insists that calligraphy, as a kind of embodied practice of“ human,” is not reducible.

2.2 Evolution of the Theoretical Focus

From the “ tool-assisted” theory in 2021 to the fierce debate on “creative subjectivity ” after 2024, the theoretical focus has shifted significantly. Early studies mostly regarded artificial intelligence as an auxiliary tool to improve teaching efficiency, focusing on its advantages in intelligent evaluation and personalized recommendation (Liu, 2025 ; yang, 2026). With the maturity of generative artificial intelligence, the focus of controversy has shifted to the ethical boundary and ontological status of ' human-machine collaboration '. Some scholars put forward the concepts of ‘ cognitive aggregate ’ and ‘cyborg writer ’, and advocated breaking the binary opposition between man and machine and treating artificial intelligence as a new medium to reconstruct creative relations (Liu & Wang, 2026). However, this theoretical turn has not solved the fundamental contradiction, but intensified the discussion on the definition of the boundary between ‘algorithm simulation ’ and ‘ human intuition ’.

3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a combination of interdisciplinary literature review and controversy analysis. The time frame is set from 2021 to 2026, focusing on the substantial changes in calligraphy education since the popularization of generative artificial intelligence; when tracing traditional theories, the range is appropriately extended to construct a complete context. Data sources cover academic journals, conference reviews, and industry reports included in the China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), from which 20 core pieces of literature were selected. The analytical path follows the logical chain of “controversy identification → disciplinary tension analysis → historical context review → theoretical gap filling → path reconstruction,” aiming to reveal the deep contradictions and evolutionary directions of calligraphy education in the AI era by comparing the views of different schools.

4. MATRIX OF CONTROVERSIAL ISSUES

Table 1 summarizes the four major controversies, the core questions, and the positions of the two schools.

Table 1: Matrix of Controversial Issues in Calligraphy Education in the AI Era

Controversy	Core Question	Viewpoint A (Technology Empowerment / Reconstruction)	Viewpoint B (Humanistic Subjectivity / Substance Persistence)	Representative Literature
Ontological value	Can algorithms reconstruct “heart–hand correspondence”?	Algorithms can simulate brushwork paths, achieve standardized inheritance and cross-cultural translation, and technology is the driving force for activating tradition.	The “rhythmic vitality” and “bodily presence” of calligraphy cannot be quantified; technological intervention leads to artistic alienation and the loss of life texture.	Chang (2026); Chen (2026) vs. Man and Jiang (2025); Zhu (2025)

© 2026 by the author(s); licensee Mason Publish Group, this work for open access publication is under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0). (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Skill acquisition	Precision training vs. aesthetic comprehension	AI provides immediate feedback and personalized paths, greatly improving the efficiency and precision of technique training.	Over-reliance on AI leads to the absence of the "comprehension" process, dissolving the aesthetic experience and spiritual cultivation embedded in trial and error and contemplation.	Zhang and Guo (2026); Yang (2026) vs. Xie (2026); Huang (2026)
Creative subject	Human–machine collaboration vs. human supremacy	Proposes the "cognitive assemblage" and the "cyborg writer," in which human and machine jointly constitute a dynamic creative network.	Insists that "calligraphy is the painting of the heart"; AI lacks emotion and cultural genes and cannot replace human subjectivity and emotional resonance.	Liu and Wang (2026) vs. Xie (2026); Peng et al. (2024)
Aesthetic standard	Datafied style vs. cultural depth	Uses big data to "distantly read" calligraphy history, generating new stylistic fusions and visual possibilities.	AI-generated works easily fall into data bias and the imitation of prevailing fashions, lacking cultural depth and unrepeatable contingency.	Liu and Wang (2026); Cai et al. (2025) vs. Man and Jiang (2025); Peng et al. (2024)

4.1 The Clash Between Technological Determinism and Humanistic Subjectivity

On the technical deconstruction of the essence of calligraphy education, technical determinists believe that algorithms can reconstruct the mechanism of " mind-hand correspondence. "Chen (2026) pointed out that artificial intelligence technology supports cross-cultural transformation and adaptive content generation, and can automatically adjust the teaching content according to the cultural background of learners, thus effectively reducing the cultural threshold of calligraphy learning and realizing the transformation from simple skill training to cultural education. Chang (2026) further proposed that the immersive environment and intelligent posting tools constructed by VR / AR technology can analyze the strength, speed and angle of strokes in real time, provide accurate error correction guidance, and then significantly improve teaching effectiveness.

In contrast, scholars who hold the view of humanistic subjectivity hold a prudent or even critical attitude towards technological intervention. Zhu (2025) emphasized that the essence of artificial intelligence collaboration is still "brush stroke path simulation based on data training." Its limitation lies in the inability to correlate physical texture, the difficulty to reproduce the unique texture of the original calligraphy formed by years of baptism, and the inability to understand the connotation of the text. Yang (2026) pointed out that the resolution of ' meaning ' by artificial intelligence highlights the uniqueness of ' mind trace ': those strokes that contain hesitation, determination and astringency - that is, rich psychological information - are the real life state of a specific person at a specific time, which cannot be generated by algorithms. This view holds that the core value of calligraphy lies in ' body presence ' and 'emotional resonance ', and the perfect image generated by artificial intelligence just dispels this scarce experience.

4.2 The Weight Dispute Between Skill Acquisition and Aesthetic Experience

At the level of skill acquisition, the focus of controversy is whether artificial intelligence-assisted teaching improves the accuracy of skill training or dispels the traditional aesthetic experience. Zhang and Guo (2026) found through practical exploration that the intelligent dual-position monitoring and intelligent writing evalu-

© 2026 by the author(s); licensee Mason Publish Group, this work for open access publication is under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0). (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

ation system can achieve immediate correction and traceability of the whole process, thus effectively improving teaching efficiency and personalized guidance level. Huang (2026) ' s research also shows that artificial intelligence technology can use image recognition to capture and mark stroke details in real time, guide students to understand the artistic connotation of 'pen as heart trace ', and then enhance their self-correction ability.

However, opponents argue that such precision training may come at the cost of aesthetic experience. Discussing the relationship between sketches and AI, Fan (2025) points out that hand-drawn sketches can capture an ineffable "pre-linguistic" state, in which the physical feedback of the pen tip touching the paper is synchronized with the flow of thought—an experience of "heart–hand correspondence" that is an incomparable cognitive process compared to typing text into AI and waiting for the result. Liu (2025) further analyzes that the "similarity and resonance" between calligraphic intention and textual meaning require extremely high skill and breadth of mind, which is not attainable by everyone, while AI's standardized output may lead to a "disconnection of spirit," reducing calligraphy to the mere production of visual symbols and losing the deep cultural logic behind "heart–hand correspondence."

5. INTERDISCIPLINARY INTEGRATION ANALYSIS

5.1 Dialogue Between Cognitive Psychology and the Philosophy of Technology

From the perspective of cognitive psychology, the change of neural mechanism in human-computer interaction has become the focus of attention. Traditional calligraphy learning emphasizes the coordination of 'eyes, heart and hands ', which is a typical embodied cognitive practice (Xie, Cao, & Yao, 2025). The intervention of artificial intelligence has changed this process, transferring part of the cognitive load to the algorithm, which may weaken the learner 's muscle memory and intuitive response. The philosophy of technology further explores how the algorithm dispels the sense of ' body presence ', pointing out that the acquisition of calligraphy skills depends on the muscle memory formed by the motor system composed of shoulder, elbow, wrist and finger, while the emotional state of the writer is externalized through the coordinated resonance between the rhythm of breathing and the movement of pen (Xie, Cao, & Yao, 2025).

5.2 The Integration Path of Art Studies and Education

Art research focuses on the integrity of aesthetic experience, emphasizing that calligraphy as a ' meaningful form ' contains a vivid rhythm of life, while mainstream digital font design often ignores this dimension, resulting in rigid products (Li, 2026). At the same time, the field of education is committed to seeking a balance between technical rationality and humanistic spirit, and puts forward the teaching mode of " man-machine collaboration. " Artificial intelligence technology has created new opportunities for calligraphy education, but we must also be alert to the improper use of technology ; artificial intelligence should be deeply integrated with traditional teaching to build an innovative man-machine collaborative teaching model (Li, 2026). This integration requires educators not only to master technical tools, but also to have interdisciplinary literacy, which can guide students to establish order in the' latent space ' of algorithms, and improve human-computer interaction to orderly and targeted collaborative creation (Fan, 2025).

© 2026 by the author(s); licensee Mason Publish Group, this work for open access publication is under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0). (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

6. CORE RESEARCH FINDINGS

6.1 Technological Deconstruction of the Substance of Calligraphy Education and the Alienation of the "Heart–Hand" Relationship

The study finds that AI technology has dismantled the traditional mechanism of "heart–hand correspondence" at the cognitive level. Traditional "heart–hand correspondence" depends on the writer's physical perception of and emotional projection onto the brush, ink, paper, and inkstone, whereas AI-generated calligraphy is often based on image pixel recognition and data-statistical simulation, lacking physical texture and emotional depth (Xie, 2026). Li (2026) points out that current font design excessively focuses on the precise imitation of the "stroke forms" of traditional calligraphic classics while neglecting the "writability" dimension, resulting in products lacking life rhythm. This technological deconstruction transforms "heart–hand correspondence" from an embodied life experience into a visually simulated result that can be algorithmically generated, triggering a profound crisis concerning the ontological value of calligraphy.

6.2 The Reconstruction Path of the Human–Machine Collaborative Teaching Paradigm

Despite the ontological controversy, the reconstruction of a human–machine collaborative teaching paradigm has become a consensus.Chen (2026) proposed to construct a three-in-one calligraphy curriculum system of "precise technique teaching-deep cultural decoding-cross-cultural adaptive communication, "using artificial intelligence visual technology to achieve precise error correction, and using generative artificial intelligence to achieve deep cultural interpretation. Xie, Cao and Yao (2025) explored the ' 3 + 1 + 1 ' smart calligraphy classroom interaction model, which deeply tracked the growth data of students, and constructed an evaluation model combining 'targeted human-machine evaluation and comprehensive teacher evaluation ', effectively bridging the gap between traditional calligraphy and smart aesthetic education. This paradigm emphasizes the role of artificial intelligence as a' tool ' and ' application ', while the ' Tao ' and ' soul ' of art lie in people. It advocates that artists should propose ideas and establish aesthetic standards, while artificial intelligence is responsible for efficient implementation and data support (Zhu, 2025).

6.3 Rebalancing Technological Ethics and Aesthetic Value

At the level of technical ethics, relevant research emphasizes the establishment of the value bottom line of calligraphy education in the era of artificial intelligence. The healthy development of science and technology requires ethical regulation and value guidance; more humanistic spirit must be infused into it, and vigilance is needed against the risk of artistic "alienation" caused by excessive reliance on technology (Zhu, 2025). Yu and Liu (2024) propose that educators should uphold professional ethics, avoid the alienation of algorithmic power, prevent "algorithmic black holes" and "algorithmic discrimination," and establish a "consent rule" mindset based on personal data empowerment. Meanwhile, Yang (2026) believes that painters of freehand figure painting in the AI era should make more active efforts in the two directions of cultural depth and the expression of heart traces, allowing the ink traces under their brushes to become human testimony that cannot be replaced by algorithms.

© 2026 by the author(s); licensee Mason Publish Group, this work for open access publication is under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0). (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

7. UNRESOLVED ISSUES AND THEORETICAL GAPS

7.1 Lack of an Ontological Framework Integrating Algorithmic Logic and Spiritual Cultivation

Current research lacks an ontological framework that integrates “algorithmic logic” with “spiritual cultivation,” making it difficult to explain the new “heart–hand” relationship under human–machine symbiosis. Although concepts such as “cognitive assemblage” and “cyborg writer” attempt to break the human–machine binary opposition, a systematic theoretical system has not yet been formed to define the ontological status of algorithms in calligraphy creation (Liu & Wang, 2026). How to organically combine the algorithmic “probabilistic emergence” with human “meaning anchoring” without dissolving humanistic subjectivity remains a difficult point in theoretical research.

7.2 Reconciling Standardized Training with Personalized Spiritual Cultivation

At the practical level, the contradiction between standardized training and personalized spiritual cultivation has not been fundamentally resolved. AI technology is good at providing standardized technique training and immediate feedback, but the “comprehension” and “enlightenment” in calligraphy education are often nonlinear and personalized, difficult to be fully captured by algorithms (Fan, 2025). How to preserve and strengthen the deep experience of “heart–hand correspondence” in the traditional apprenticeship system while using AI to improve efficiency requires further educational experiments and theoretical exploration.

8. PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS AND DISCUSSION

8.1 Digital Transformation Strategy of Calligraphy Education

Artificial intelligence technology provides a new path for the digital transformation of calligraphy education. With the help of the virtual calligraphy space constructed by VR / AR technology, students can immersively experience the writing environment and spiritual world of ancient literati, thus deepening their understanding of the artistic conception of works (Chang, 2026). Intelligent evaluation system and personalized recommendation algorithm make calligraphy learning more accurate and efficient, which is helpful to solve practical problems such as shortage of teachers and difficulties in curriculum implementation (Li, 2026 ; zhang & Guo, 2026). However, digital transformation should not only stay at the technical level, but also pay attention to the cultural logic and educational ethics behind technology.

8.2 Reshaping the Teacher’s Role and Improving Literacy

In the era of artificial intelligence, the role of teachers has changed from knowledge imparter to learning guide and value guardian. Chen (2026) emphasized that teachers should improve their artificial intelligence literacy and technology application ability, so as to master the operation of artificial intelligence teaching tools and the concept of cross-cultural teaching design. Teachers need to have a ' dual subject ' consciousness : they can not only control the algorithm tools, but also adhere to the humanistic spirit, and guide students to establish their own subject status in human-computer interaction (Fan, 2025). This requires teachers not only

© 2026 by the author(s); licensee Mason Publish Group, this work for open access publication is under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0). (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

to be proficient in art, but also to be proficient in artificial intelligence and become interdisciplinary talents.

8.3 New Opportunities for Cultural Inheritance and Global Dissemination

Artificial intelligence technology provides new opportunities for the global dissemination of calligraphy art. Through multilingual cultural interpretation and cross-cultural adaptation, artificial intelligence can reduce the cultural threshold of calligraphy learning and deepen international students ' cognitive depth and emotional identity of Chinese culture (Chen, 2026). However, in the process of communication, it is necessary to be vigilant against cultural misreading and aesthetic homogenization, so as to ensure that the core values and spiritual genes of calligraphy art maintain vitality and innovation in the global context (Chen, 2026).

9. CONCLUSION

9.1 Research Boundaries and Limitations

This study is mainly based on the literature from 2021 to 2026, focusing on theoretical analysis and paradigm discussion, lacking the support of large-scale empirical data. In addition, artificial intelligence technology iterates rapidly, and some research conclusions may change with the development of technology. Future research needs to include more empirical cases to explore the specific effectiveness and ethical impact of human-machine collaborative teaching.

9.2 Summary of Main Findings

In the era of artificial intelligence, ‘ mind-hand correspondence ’ has not been completely eliminated, but has undergone profound deconstruction and reconstruction. The conflict between technological determinism and humanistic subjectivity reveals the complexity of the connotation of calligraphy education, and the paradigm reconstruction of human-machine cooperation provides a possible way to resolve this contradiction. Although artificial intelligence technology can improve the efficiency of skill training and expand the breadth of cultural communication, it cannot replace people ’s emotional experience and cultural accumulation. The future of calligraphy education lies in establishing the dominant position of ' people ' and using artificial intelligence to activate traditional cognition, so as to realize the organic integration of technical rationality and humanistic spirit.

9.3 Theoretical Contribution and Disciplinary Dialogue

Based on an interdisciplinary perspective, this study integrates cognitive psychology, philosophy of technology, art and pedagogy into a unified analytical framework, revealing the profound contradictions and evolutionary logic contained in calligraphy education in the era of artificial intelligence. The concepts of ' cognitive assembly ' and ' cyborg writer ' provide a new theoretical perspective for understanding the reconstructed ' heart-hand ' relationship in the context of human-machine symbiosis. Future research should further construct an ontological framework that integrates algorithm logic and spiritual cultivation to provide solid theoretical support for the digital transformation of calligraphy education.

© 2026 by the author(s); licensee Mason Publish Group, this work for open access publication is under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0). (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

REFERENCES

[1]Cai, W., Chu, C., Cui, X., et al. (2025). DeepSeek empowering the innovation and development of international Chinese language education [In Chinese]. Chinese Teaching in the World, 39(3), 291–315.

[2]Chang, S. (2026). Research on strategies of digital-intelligence empowering calligraphy education through the integration of technology and art [In Chinese]. Couplet, (16), 90–92.

[3]Chen, Z. (2026). The path of cultural inheritance of AI-empowered calligraphy general education in colleges and universities [In Chinese]. Calligraphy Education, (8), 28–33.

[4]Fan, J. (2025). Sketch: Drawing as creative conception and design intention [In Chinese]. Art Research, (6), 109–113.

[5]Fan, Q. (2023). The legal-ethical dimension of human–machine integration in education: Boundary, structure, and correction [In Chinese]. Studies in Dialectics of Nature, 39(8), 138–144.

[6]Huang, D. (2026). Research on AI-empowered in-depth appreciation of calligraphy works [In Chinese]. Art Museum, 7(3), 157–159.

[7]Li, F. (2026). Digital inheritance and international communication of Chinese character fonts: Paradigm reconstruction, path exploration, and ecosystem construction [In Chinese]. Journal of Western, (16), 72–77.

[8]Li, J. (2026). Module, prevailing style, and taste: Deconstruction and reconstruction of the calligraphy aesthetic system in the AIGC era [In Chinese]. Hundred Schools in Arts, 42(3), 133–141.

[9]Liu, C., & Wang, Y. (2026). Artificial intelligence empowering curriculum reform in colleges and universities: Models, challenges, and approaches [In Chinese]. Journal of National Academy of Educational Administration, (8), 58–70.

[10]Liu, X. (2025). A discussion of "On the pictorial image of literature" [In Chinese]. Chinese Art Research, (1), 130–135.

[11]Man, S., & Jiang, H. (2025). Semantic encoding, human–machine symbiosis, and algorithmic literacy: Research on the cultivation path of AIGC micro-drama talents [In Chinese]. Future Communication, 32(6), 103–112.

[12]Peng, L., Wang, P., Huang, Z., et al. (2024). From technological foresight to ecological reshaping: The symbiotic evolution of higher education reform and artificial intelligence—A review of the key points of the 2024 Horizon Report (Teaching and Learning Edition) [In Chinese]. Journal of Distance Education, 42(3), 3–10, 31.

[13]Wang, X., & Liu, S. (2025). Focusing on calligraphy education and discussing disciplinary development: A review of the symposium on calligraphy education development in the new era [In Chinese]. Chinese Calligraphy, (9), 200–202.

[14]Xie, F. (2026). Research on the innovative practice and aesthetic education value of calligraphy and design education from the perspective of cross-border integration [In Chinese]. Calligraphy Appreciation, (4), 118–120.

[15]Xie, Y., Cao, B., & Yao, D. (2025). The symbiosis of humanities and technology: Creating future-oriented aesthetic education in primary schools [In Chinese]. People's Education, (20), 71–73.

[16]Yang, J. (2026). On the innovation of creative concepts in the AI era [In Chinese]. Internet Weekly, (15), 54–57.

[17]Yu, Q., & Liu, J. (2024). Ethical analysis and subject reconstruction of "AI + education" [In Chinese].

© 2026 by the author(s); licensee Mason Publish Group, this work for open access publication is under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0). (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Academic Exploration, (1), 148–156.
[18]Zhang, G., & Guo, M. (2026). 28 years of practical exploration of calligraphy education in primary and secondary schools in Huludao City [In Chinese]. Calligraphy Education, (6), 16–25.
[19]Zhang, Y., Yang, M., & Zhong, C. (2026). Artificial intelligence-empowered human–machine collaborative teaching mode for printing and packaging majors and its practical innovation [In Chinese]. Printing and Digital Media Technology Research, (3), 60–67.
[20]Zhu, M. (2025). The integration of calligraphy and artificial intelligence and its value examination [In Chinese]. Chinese Calligraphy, (10), 165–167.

© 2026 by the author(s); licensee Mason Publish Group, this work for open access publication is under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0). (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)